Message

From: Chalfant, Mark [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=8C1D644333F94EA2AFF756BE6469E5AD-CHALFANT, MARK]

Sent: 3/2/2016 6:18:51 PM

To: Chalfant, Mark [Chalfant.Mark@epa.gov]

Subject: FW: CFAC Questions w/ Answers

From: Cirian, Mike

Sent: Wednesday, March 02, 2016 11:12 AM **To:** Chalfant, Mark < Chalfant. Mark@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: CFAC Questions

Last set of questions from Chad Campbell (Tester's Staff). Sent electronically

Mike Cirian, PE Libby On-site Project Manager US EPA 108 East 9th Street Libby, MT 59923 (406) 293-6194 Office

From: Smith, Paula

Sent: Monday, February 29, 2016 7:16 PM

To: Russo, Rebecca < Russo. Rebecca@epa.gov >; Cirian, Mike < Cirian. Mike@epa.gov >; Chalfant, Mark

<<u>Chalfant.Mark@epa.gov</u>>; Peterson, Cynthia <<u>Peterson.Cynthia@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: FW: CFAC Questions

Mike- Can you confirm and send copies of letters to Deb?

- Paula

From: Thomas, Deb

Sent: Monday, February 29, 2016 7:02 PM **To:** Smith, Paula <<u>Smith.Paula@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: Re: CFAC Questions

The third letter is from Tester. Will someone confirm whether the other 2 letters were sent and provide me with copies (electronic is fine)?

On Feb 29, 2016, at 5:22 PM, Smith, Paula < Smith.Paula@epa.gov > wrote:

fyi

- Paula

From: Peterson, Cynthia

Sent: Monday, February 29, 2016 2:09 PM

To: Russo, Rebecca <<u>Russo.Rebecca@epa.gov</u>>; Smith, Paula <<u>Smith.Paula@epa.gov</u>> **Cc:** Cirian, Mike <<u>Cirian.Mike@epa.gov</u>>; Chalfant, Mark <<u>Chalfant.Mark@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: RE: CFAC Questions

As far as I know, there were only two letters – one to the county commissioners and one to city council. The letters have been vetted and Mike was going to sign them last week. Don't know if that happened.

Cynthia Peterson
Community Involvement Coordinator
Public Affairs and Community Involvement
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII
1595 Wynkoop St. (8OC-PAI)
Denver, CO 80202-1129
303-312-6879 -- direct dial

From: Russo, Rebecca

Sent: Monday, February 29, 2016 2:02 PM **To:** Smith, Paula < Smith. Paula@epa.gov>

Cc: Cirian, Mike < Cirian. Mike@epa.gov>; Peterson, Cynthia < Peterson. Cynthia@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: CFAC Questions

I don't know anything about these letters. Mike, Cynthia?

Rebecca A. Russo

Region 8 Congressional and Intergovernmental Liaison

Office: 303-312-6757 Cell: 303-204-1930

From: Smith, Paula

Sent: Monday, February 29, 2016 1:50 PM **To:** Russo, Rebecca < <u>Russo.Rebecca@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: Re: CFAC Questions

Deb just asked about 3 letters that were scheduled to go out last week to city council, city commissioners, and? Di you know where those responses are?

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 29, 2016, at 9:29 AM, Russo, Rebecca <Russo.Rebecca@epa.gov> wrote:

FYI

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Campbell, Chad (Tester)" < Chad Campbell@tester.senate.gov >

Date: February 29, 2016 at 9:21:14 AM MST

To: "Russo, Rebecca" < Russo.Rebecca@epa.gov >, "Mike Cirian

(cirian.mike@epa.gov)" < cirian.mike@epa.gov>

Cc: "DiLuccia, Janelle (Tester)" < Janelle _DiLuccia@tester.senate.gov>,

"Swanson, Dayna (Tester)" < Dayna_Swanson@tester.senate.gov>,

"Laslovich, Dylan (Tester)" < Dylan_Laslovich@tester.senate.gov>,

"Nylund, Erik (Tester)" < Erik Nylund@tester.senate.gov>
Subject: CFAC Questions

Rebecca,

Earlier this week I was able to talk with Susan Nicosia with the City of Columbia Falls. They were very happy with Mike's presentation and the information he shared at last week's City Council meeting. They would like for him to continue to come to these meetings and keep the lines of information open. As Mike noted, there has been conflicting information in related media stories which leads to fear and confusion within the community. This upcoming week Glencore is sending up its representatives to talk to community leaders about the NPL process, as they did in November.

Ms. Nicosia asked the EPA the specific questions your staff answered; she then asked the same ones to Glencore as well. Glencore's response is attached and it has some different information from what EPA provided.

A town hall meeting may not be necessary right now, but we think the press and the community at large deserves to have some of these questions answered directly by the EPA. The idea of factsheets and maybe some more in-depth background for the press may help clear up some of the uncertainty the community is feeling.

Here's what seems would be helpful:

 EPA fact sheets publicly available providing as much information about the process as possible. Also, specifically addressing what criteria must be met to move forward with an alternative process, and if that process is somehow faster as is being asserted by some involved. EPA indicated the substance of both the alternative and Superfund processes are essentially the same, but it is clear in conversations with local residents that this is remains a major source of confusion in the larger community.

EPA is working on fact sheets which we will make available to the public; also reporters (site status, what has been done, next steps, alternative request)

EPA could ideally include a timeline of activity and how the
process ended up where it currently sits. The public should
have an understanding of how this could have been a voluntary
vs. compulsory cleanup, and where different jurisdictions had
potential oversight. EPA noted to us the company had an
opportunity to enter into some sort of agreement prior to the
NPL listing.

Site reassessment 2013-14, negotiated AOC (in parallel-listing package, , CalBag separate) held community meetings

 EPA mentioned the ability to provide some detailed background to media outlets to help avoid more confusion. Mike noted confusion in some articles about the process and project oversight. The complex issue of jurisdiction over removal of infrastructure and contaminants seems to need some clarification.

Provide fact sheet to reporters, and make Agency available for questions about process

4. It seems prudent to plan a community style meeting within the next couple of months to answer questions that will surely come.

We agree, and we are in the process of setting up a meeting (spring 2016)

5. Some of the concerns about any listing are redevelopment and returning the site to productive use. It would be helpful to the community to hear of EPA success stories on similar sites.

Cynthia working w/ Fran; will share success stories during public meeting and w/ press

While we appreciate the willingness EPA has shown to provide information to local elected officials, there appears to be a problem in information flowing to the public. We feel it's best to have information coming directly from EPA to the public in the most accurate and transparent manner possible. That will avoid the possibility of losing anything in translation and hopefully place everyone on the same page.

Chad Campbell
Regional Director-Northwest Montana
U.S. Senator Jon Tester
8 Third St. East - Kalispell, MT 59901
406-257-3360 (direct line) 406-257-3974 (fax)
chad_campbell@tester.senate.gov